

TECHN SITE

A Technical magazine of CSE Department

Volume 6

Issue 1

November 2016



Technical Articles

Article-1

Future of Software Engineering

Software Trainers

With all the Machine Learning and Artificial Intelligence coming into the main stream, machines will need to learn from vast amounts of data. Sometime in the future they will self train too, but that is at least a decade away, till then we will need humans to train machines. Among us there will emerge a new breed of software professionals known as Software Trainers, who will tell programs to exist on the world and how to recognize objects.

Trainers will tell programs what objects they would see, in exact shape, color and size. They state exact sequence of actions, what to process and when to process etc. Data engineering will play a bigger role than compared to software engineering. Software trainers don't need to understand machine learning techniques, but apply them. The programs will learn how to put the pieces together using through feedback and digital playgrounds, thus creating a path of self induced learning.

Binary is not the past but it is the future

With the entry of Internet of Things in future in everyday life more and more devices and machines will communicate with each other, this communication will surpass the machine to human communication in the order of both scale and magnitude. Software code for tomorrow will be used more for machine to machine communication than for human to machine. Passing data back and forth in JSON packets with REST protocols with lots of overhead of tags will make the communication and thus ultimately performance slow.

If both ends of the interface are machines then why would they need to communicate using text and pay for overhead of JSON & XML when in the receiving end it needs to be converted back to binary? Thus why not ship the bits directly? We will see introduction of new tools and software procedures which are targeted towards producing machine understandable programs. The application code and the transmission packets will become more and more binary efficient signifying a leap in the communication protocols. While maintainability and human readability are important today, it might get trumped by the need for extreme performance tomorrow.

R Mary Grace
(13K61A0571)

Article-2

IP IN BROADCAST

The wholesale move to IP in broadcasting has birthed a number of solutions to the same problem: IP in the live production environment.

The groups can count multiple backers, all claim to be standards-based and all tout their open credentials. But no scheme has been fully ratified by any international standards body and the degree of openness is one of interpretation. IP-based broadcast is not as simple as connecting wiring, switches and drive arrays to servers, applications and equipment. If it was, we'd be much farther down this path. In fact, it's just as easy to build proprietary solutions on an IP backbone as it is to continue development based on SDI. Live production is the biggest challenge for IP due to the requirement for low latency and discrete, reliable switching. The variety of approaches recognise that IP affords the opportunity to move from the constraints of SDI to allow for a more flexible means of treating video, audio, and metadata. However, the sluggish nature of standardisation, the impatience of some customers wanting to move their business forward and proprietorial business interests, mean the industry enters NAB at risk of falling into gatewayed silos instead of universal interoperability.

A question of standards

“The danger is one of losing confidence and creating confusion for our customers,” spells out Mo Goyal, Director - Product Marketing, Evertz. “The industry wants to employ IP as quickly as possible, however they want to ensure they invest in a technology that will protect them in the future.”

Few would argue with this description by Nevion’s of engineering, Andy Rayner, of overall goal for production: “The transport of separate media essences with appropriate timing to allow them to be brought together in production, but kept separate to allow for the processing that needs to happen.” And why do we want this? “Because IP independence removes you from the ever increasing number of formats,” says Rayner.

“Spatial and temporal resolution are increasing to HDR and 8K and down to various requirements for mobile, so moving to a native video interface, rather than a composite one, is where we need to be.” Most approaches take the SMPTE standard 2022-6 as their starting point. These include Sony’s Networked Media Interface (NMI), an adaptation of 2022-6 which supports SMPTE 2059 PTP for timing but not the AES67 audio standard and wraps media in a single multicast stream. This technology is before SMPTE as a Registered Disclosure Document/RDD34.

Lobby group AIMS (Alliance for IP Media Solutions) has Nevion, Grass Valley, Imagine Communications, Lawo and SAM as founder members.

They want to build on 2022-6 with AES67 and Video Services

Forum (VSF)-devised TR-03 which splits the video, audio and metadata into separate paths. Some of these consortiums are based entirely on a world without SDI, according to Cisco. “While visionary, they are impractical for large broadcast and media businesses that need to make money today,” the company says.

Others are designed to move customers and prospects to IP-based infrastructure while protecting the proprietary lock-in that is common in today’s SDI-based world. “No wonder broadcasters are confused and cautious. And that’s why Cisco has committed to the AIMS alliance and its approach to building the common standards the broadcast and media industry needs for a successful transition to an IP-based future,” it says.

Another proposal comes from NewTek. Its Network Device Interface (NDI) eschews traditional broadcast standards and is aimed at lower-budget broadcast, corporate communications, niche sports and YouTubers. NewTek CTO Andrew Cross argues that there is an industry standard in terms of cabling and that this has in fact permitted the different media transport protocols to flourish. “In the past the industry has been burned because if a facility made a decision about a standard and bet on the wrong one it would end up with a huge sunk cost and no way out,” he says. “To a degree, IP has overcome that since the IP cabling or network doesn’t

need to change. I don't believe the multiplicity or lack of standards will be as much of an issue this time around."

Some of the messaging and counter-messaging between sponsors of competing protocols is pretty intense, showing just what is at stake for companies backing the wrong horse. "AIMS collectively — and SAM individually — see a danger in the adoption of proprietary formats," says Tim Felstead, Head of Product Marketing, SAM. "Our philosophy is that we should be adopting open protocols that are as much as humanly possible royalty free."

Nevion's Rayner agrees, "We are committed to open standards rather than retro-fitting one manufacturer's proposal. Such proposals are initiated only to garner market share and attempt to impose a solution on the market." Sony brushes off such criticism. "If you look back to when we first introduced NMI it could have been seen as proprietary but Sony has quickly opened up RDDs to SMPTE and other groups. We are committed to an interoperable approach," says Nicolas Moreau, Product Marketing Manager IP Live Production & Workflows. ASPEN — which is supported by Sony, Discovery Communications, Chryon Hego, AJA, Hitachi and NEP Group — comes in for most criticism. Here's a stinging repost from Koen Meyskens, open innovation manager at Belgium broadcaster VRT, which ran the

AIMS-supported world's first remote live IP production as part of the EBU Sandbox LiveIP project: "We have a strong belief in open standards not linked to any vendor and broadcasters are not helped with a mess of different protocols. ASPEN dictates its standards and then makes them free to use which is not the same as an open standard."

While the EBU's project Sandbox has been making all the headlines with a live IP studio and a live IP remote production of a concert (neither using TR-03), Evertz can point to deployments since 2014. "ESPN the first real facility built using an IP core and running the ASPEN framework," says Goyal. "This year the Super Bowl was the first major event to be produced using NEP's facilities for CBS Sports using an IP infrastructure based on an ASPEN framework (CBS made similar use of the tech for coverage of Masters golf this time routing 4K (from a quad 4K capture) and NBC Sports is trialling ASPEN for production of the Olympics."

Goyal continues: "The big difference between AIMS and ASPEN is that we've used a proven standard and have deployed over 30 global installations using IP. AIMS is promoting TR-03 and TR-04 as a possible path for IP. But it's not proven. It's at an early phase with a lot of unanswered questions. Starting from scratch will pose a challenge. They have some optimistic views on when the industry will see a final solution. We're not as confident at this point when (and if) it will happen."

Sony makes a similar case against AIMS. “TR-03 is not yet a standard, it is only a recommendation and we only support standards.” [though Moreau neglects to mention Sony’s own draft document]. But let’s not paint this as a VHS versus Betamax argument. Evertz appears more conciliatory toward its rivals than AIMS members are toward it. “It is easy for us and our customers to deploy ASPEN today but that’s not to say if and when something down the pipe is proven and has commercial value that we can’t make adjustments to it,” says Goyal. “It could be ASPEN or some version of TR-03 – it’s all software and we have the flexibility to adapt.”

R. Chitti Kumari
(13K61A0574)

Article-3

Artificial Intelligence

Artificial Intelligence (AI in short) has long fascinated humans and is a recurring theme in fiction novels and movies. Many Hollywood movies like Terminator Series, Transformers Series, Matrix Series, Chappie, Transcendence and Stealth have Intelligent Machines and AI as a central theme of their plot. Now-a-days, there is a lot of buzz about AI. Some of the AI related news which were seen in recent times are: *f*. AI and robots

threaten to unleash mass unemployment, scientists warn (News article in Financial Times dated 14-Feb-2016) *f*. AlphaGo beats human Go champ in milestone for artificial intelligence (News article in Los Angeles Times dated 12-Mar-2016) *f*. Microsoft takes artificial intelligence bot ‘Tay’ offline after racist tweets (News article in Times of India dated 25-Mar-2016) *f*. IBM Researcher: Fears Over Artificial Intelligence Are ‘Overblown’ (News article in Time dated 12-May-2016)

This is just a small grab of news about AI that we are seeing lately. It can be seen that the news related to AI, range from the heights of optimism to the depths of pessimism. Fears range from ‘huge jobs losses due to AI’ to ‘AI going out of control’. Whether we like it or not, AI has already started getting used in many aspects of technology than we actually know. Its usage is going to increase exponentially in the years to come. All top technology companies like IBM, Google, Microsoft, Apple and Facebook are embracing AI in a big way. This paper discusses various aspects related to AI in detail.

Scope of AI

AI is an interdisciplinary field involving various areas like computer science, mathematics, statistics, linguistics and neuroscience. Artificial Intelligence as a term was first coined in the Dartmouth Conference of 1956 by John McCarthy. This conference was held at Dartmouth College in

Hanover, New Hampshire, United States. This was held as a summer research project based on a proposal authored by John McCarthy along with other AI and computer science pioneers like Marvin L. Minsky, Nathaniel Rochester and Claude E. Shannon.

AI is a field of study involved in development of systems, methods, software and machines that are capable of intelligent behavior like those which are exhibited by humans and animals with an ability to perceive, reason and act. The goals of AI include automating intelligent behavior and solving complex problems. Some of the terms which are frequently heard in context of AI are Machine Learning, Natural Language Processing, Artificial Neural Networks, Cognitive Science, Fuzzy Logic and Bayesian networks.

Natural Language Processing (NLP) is a field of AI related to methods, systems and software to process, understand, use and interact in natural human languages such as English. Machine translation from one language to another, was one of the first explored problems of NLP. Areas such as Optical character recognition and Speech recognition are some of the real world applications of NLP. Artificial Neural Networks (ANN) are a set of computational models and computer programs (for processing information) that are inspired by and modeled similar to human brains and biological neural networks, by the way of interconnected processing elements/artificial neurons.

ANN has found applications in complex areas such as Cancer diagnosis and detection. Cognitive science is the study of cognition in intelligent beings like humans and other animals. Cognition includes the process of perception, acquisition of knowledge, understanding, thinking and learning. It is an interdisciplinary area which involves areas such as Linguistics, Neuroscience, Computer Science and Psychology. Developing intelligent devices and systems based on the knowledge of cognition in intelligent beings, is one of the goals of Cognitive science.

Computers use Boolean logic to perform their functions, but the real world is not as simple as black and white. It has many shades of gray. Fuzzy Logic is the computational approach based on multi-valued logic and allows for intermediate values between 0 and 1. Fuzzy logic finds great application in control systems. Many home appliances from rice cookers to washing machines using Fuzzy Logic, are available.

Japan is a pioneer in creating applications which use Fuzzy logic. Bayesian networks also known as Bayes networks, Belief networks, Causal networks are graphical models which are a representation of a joint probability distribution of a set of random variables of interest and their conditional dependencies. Bayesian networks are used for reasoning under

uncertainty and in very complex decision scenarios. Topics such as Heuristic search, k-means clustering, A* search algorithm, will interest those who study

Pioneers and Awards in AI

One way to study and understand a subject is to study about pioneers and highly awarded people and their contributions. A number of awards are constituted to recognize the individuals who make major and highly impactful contributions in AI. The A.M. Turing award is the topmost award in computer science and is being given annually by Association of Computer Machinery (ACM) since 1966. It is considered equivalent to Nobel Prize in the field of computing. This award is named after Alan Mathison Turing who himself is considered as the father of theoretical computer science and AI.

The very famous Turing test was proposed by Turing in his paper titled Computing Machinery & Intelligence in Oct-1950 in British academic journal named Mind. This is considered to be one of the first papers on AI. Turing test was designed to test if a machine is able to exhibit intelligent behavior or not. It is done by comparing its performance against human. Conversations are made with a computer and a human simultaneously. If the responses of the computer are indistinguishable from that of the

human, then computer is said to have passed the Turing test and the computer can be assumed to be intelligent. This test is also one of the first introductions to the field of NLP where the computers can converse with humans.

Logic Theory Machine was devised to learn to solve complex problems such as proving mathematical theorems and playing chess. It is called the first AI program. Newell and Simon along with J.C. Shaw created General Problem Solver which is an AI program to imitate the problem-solving skills of humans. Herbert A. Simon also won the Nobel Prize in Economics. Edward A Feigenbaum and Dabbala Rajagopal Reddy won the Turing award in 1994 for design and construction of large scale AI systems. Raj Reddy is the only person of Indian Origin to have won the Turing award.

Judea Pearl won the Turing award in 2011 for his contributions to AI by developing calculus related to probabilistic and causal reasoning. Judea Pearl is pioneer of Bayesian networks and is credited as its inventor.

Loebner Prize is a yearly competition being held since 1991 and is given to the most human like computer program/chatbot based on the Turing test. The most human-like among the entries for the respective year is given the

prize. There is also a one-time \$100,000 prize for a computer which passes the Turing test.

It is yet to be won. Arthur Samuel Lee is another Pioneer in AI and Machine Learning. In 1959, he developed the first self-learning program which could play the board game of Draughts or Checkers. He developed this program on IBM 701 which was IBM's first commercial scientific computer. Joseph Weizenbaum is another pioneer in AI and NLP, who had developed the famed ELIZA program. ELIZA is a conversational computer program and is one of the first chatbots capable of conversation with humans.

AI Winter

In the early decades of AI, there were periods of reduced funding and loss of interest in AI. This was during the 1970s, 1980s and 1990s. These periods are known as AI winter. This was due to slow progress in AI without much results to show. AI solutions that could generate big revenue for the corporates, did not get developed. Some of the contributors to AI winter were: failure of projects related to machine translation in reaching their objectives; the Lighthill report in 1973 in United Kingdom portrayed a pessimistic view of AI; cuts in funding for AI by Defense Advanced Research Projects Agency in USA. AI has now come out of this phase and

there is renewed interest and great enthusiasm for applications of AI in the industry.

E. Sarada

(13K61A0518)

Article-4

Drone Ships

Drone cargo ships, known colloquially as 'ghost' ships, are a popular topic of discussion in the shipping industry. A European Commission study has estimated that drone ships could reduce fuel consumption by up to 20 percent; could store more cargo relative to the

ship's size, and be 40 percent cheaper to operate. **But how close are they to reality?** Development work on drone ships is moving forward with the Advanced Waterborne Applications initiative, led by the Rolls Royce Holdings, to work on designs for a remote-controlled cargo vessel. The University of Plymouth, UK is also working on a project to build the world's first full-sized, fully autonomous, unmanned research vessel. The multi-million pound Mayflower Autonomous Research Ship (MARS) is scheduled to set sail across the Atlantic in 2020.

Industry experts claim that most of the technology for enabling drone shipping is already available, but it needs to be integrated into a working

vessel. Naturally, it is the legal and regulatory environment that is seen as the major obstacle to deploying drone ships in a working capacity.

It may take as long as 10 years for the regulations to fall into place.

So, assuming regulatory challenges can be overcome, what other requirements will have to be met to enable ship managers to operate crewless cargo vessels, and what will the role of satellite communication systems be in enabling these vessels?

Terminology

First it's worth defining terms: The words "autonomous" and "drone" are often used interchangeably in relation to vessels. However there are important differences. A "fully autonomous" ship would have full capability to make navigational decisions using its on-board Navigation & Management Computer, collecting and processing masses of data from each of its many on-board operating systems to safely navigate the ship from one port area to another without human intervention.

A "drone" ship, in contrast, would be remotely controlled throughout her voyage from a ship control centre, piloted by senior navigation staff, who could rely on on-site engineering staff to overcome technical issues which may arise.

How will Satcoms enable drone or autonomous vessels?

The live camera navigation monitoring will have a particularly data-hungry requirement, with an expectation that a live feed from high definition cameras will give a 360-degree visibility from the ship's coning position. To ensure that a ship remains under full control 100% of the time, the vessel will need to have two, if not three, identical satcom systems installed to ensure that the automatic failover of data communications is quick and efficient. How cost effective could a drone vessel be compared to a normal crewed ship? The expectation of very high bandwidth demands created by drone or autonomous shipping means that the amount spent on communication systems and data transfer on such vessels will be exponentially higher than today's fully-crewed vessels.

At the same time crew costs will fall, although crewing requirements are not expected to be eliminated entirely. Managing communication costs with fixed monthly charges, irrespective of fluctuations in the volumes of data transferred, will be increasingly important to ship managers. VSAT maritime broadband provides this. The issue in predicting communication costs, lies with understanding how much constant bandwidth a drone or autonomous vessel will require? And, at what cost? If a 4Mb dedicated service in both download and upload provided sufficient useable bandwidth, at today's pricing, the monthly operating communications may actually cost the equivalent of only two to three navigating officer positions.

What Ship Managers should look for in VSAT

VSAT systems have already proved their mettle at sea, particularly over the past six years, offering reliable connectivity, good throughput speeds to suit individual users and flat-rate fees. It seems likely that VSAT systems will play a key role in enabling drone and autonomous shipping.

Any ship manager investigating VSAT should look for a similar list of qualities. Ideally the service provider should offer extensive satellite coverage, an extensive range of broadband packages, and, rely on their own network of land-based 'teleports'. Additionally, VSAT network operators should have 24-hour dedicated network operation and support staff, and a trained worldwide service network.

It seems likely that High Throughput Satellite (HTS) services currently coming online will play a major part in the emergence of drone and autonomous shipping. Ship managers should ensure that their provider is working in this direction.

Where are we likely to see the first drone ships?

The most likely first adopters of drone or autonomous ships will be those companies in the business of transporting stable, non-hazardous cargo. Dry bulk cargo vessels will pose relatively little cargo risk. It is hard to consider hazardous cargoes such as gas, oil or chemical products being allowed to be transported on crewless vessels. The dangers are just too great.

Look to the future

For the time being, crewless vessels are a serious consideration for the future of certain ship types and sectors, and a growth in experimental programmes can be expected to take place over the next ten years. To accommodate the new technology, the current international shipping rules and regulations across all categories of ship operation and safety will have to be reconsidered. Early consideration of necessary regulatory changes may enable the first approved commercial drone/autonomous ships to be designed, created and launched by the early 2030s.

The prospect of unmanned shipping has been met with a mixture of interest and cynicism. But once launched and operational, VSAT and other future marine broadband technology will become the beating heart in the management of drone and autonomous shipping.

E. Nirosha
(13K61A0517)